


Quantum information theory


Lectures 15-16

Quantum dynamics. I-II. Generalized measurements

Dynamics and Measurement



Stern-Gerlach measurement



Relation to polarizing beam splitter

Qubit examples:

① $E_{\uparrow} = P_{\uparrow}, E_{\downarrow} = P_{\downarrow}$

One-dimensional orthogonal projectors (ODOP)

② $E_1 = \frac{1}{2} |\vec{e}_z\rangle\langle\vec{e}_z| = \frac{1}{4}(1+Z)$

$E_2 = \frac{1}{2} |-\vec{e}_z\rangle\langle-\vec{e}_z| = \frac{1}{4}(1-Z)$


$E_3 = \frac{1}{2} |\vec{e}_x\rangle\langle\vec{e}_x| = \frac{1}{4}(1+X)$

$E_4 = \frac{1}{2} |-\vec{e}_x\rangle\langle-\vec{e}_x| = \frac{1}{4}(1-X)$

Coin flip to decide on measurement of z-spin or x-spin.

(max probability for any result) = $\frac{1}{2}$

③ Trine measurement:



$E_1 = \frac{1}{3} |\vec{s}_1\rangle\langle\vec{s}_1| = \frac{1}{3}(1+X)$

$E_2 = \frac{1}{3} |\vec{s}_2\rangle\langle\vec{s}_2| = \frac{1}{3}(1 - \frac{1}{2}X + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}Y)$

$E_3 = \frac{1}{3} |\vec{s}_3\rangle\langle\vec{s}_3| = \frac{1}{3}(1 - \frac{1}{2}X - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}Y)$

(max probability for any result) = $\frac{1}{3}$

④ $E_1 = \frac{1}{3}(1+X) = \frac{2}{3} |\vec{e}_x\rangle\langle\vec{e}_x|$

coarse graining

$E_{23} = E_2 + E_3 = \frac{2}{3}(1 - \frac{1}{2}X) = \frac{1}{3} |\vec{e}_x\rangle\langle\vec{e}_x| + |-\vec{e}_x\rangle\langle-\vec{e}_x|$

⑤ Tetrahedron measurement

⑥ General: $E_d = g_d (1 + \vec{\lambda}_d \cdot \vec{a})$

$E_d \geq 0 \Rightarrow g_d \geq 0, |\vec{\lambda}_d| \leq 1$

$E_d \leq 1 \Rightarrow g_d (1 + |\vec{\lambda}_d|) \leq 1$

$\sum_d E_d = 1 \Rightarrow \sum_d g_d = 1$

$\sum_d g_d \vec{\lambda}_d = 0$

⑦ HO example: Simultaneous measurement of x and p

$E_d = \frac{1}{\pi} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$

$\int d^2\alpha E_d = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| = 1$

$Q(\alpha) = \text{tr}(\rho E_d) = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle\alpha|\rho|\alpha\rangle$


Q function Husimi distribution

POVMs:

- ① Coarse graining
- ② Unsharp \leftrightarrow no states that give certainty \leftrightarrow simultaneous measurement of incompatible observables \leftrightarrow qubit examples

Quantum operations:

- ① Open systems: Ancilla
Environment
Measuring apparatus
Measurement model



Quantum operations: trace-preserving \uparrow trace-decreasing \downarrow

$R_\alpha(\rho) = \text{tr}_A(P_\alpha U \rho \otimes \sigma U^\dagger) = P_\alpha \rho P_\alpha$

Post-measurement state

Ignorance of result: $\rho' = \sum_\alpha P_\alpha \rho P_\alpha$

$= \sum_\alpha \text{tr}_A(P_\alpha U \rho \otimes \sigma U^\dagger)$

$= \text{tr}_A(U \rho \otimes \sigma U^\dagger)$

$R(\rho) = \sum_\alpha R_\alpha(\rho) = \text{tr}_A(U \rho \otimes \sigma U^\dagger)$

Use capital script letters for quantum operations.

② Kraus decomposition (operator-sum representation).
 Description of quantum operations in terms of system operators.

$$\sigma = \sum_k \lambda_k |e_k\rangle\langle e_k| \quad \leftarrow \text{initial ancilla density operator}$$

$$P_\alpha = \sum_j |f_{\alpha j}\rangle\langle f_{\alpha j}|, \quad \mathbb{1} = \sum_\alpha P_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha j} |f_{\alpha j}\rangle\langle f_{\alpha j}|$$

↑
orthonormal basis for A

$$Q_\alpha(\rho) = \text{tr}_A(P_\alpha U \rho \sigma U^\dagger) = \sum_{j,k} \lambda_k \text{tr}_A(|f_{\alpha j}\rangle\langle f_{\alpha j}| U \rho |e_k\rangle\langle e_k| U^\dagger)$$

$$= \sum_{j,k} \sqrt{\lambda_k} \langle f_{\alpha j}| U |e_k\rangle \rho \langle e_k| U^\dagger |f_{\alpha j}\rangle \sqrt{\lambda_k}$$

$\equiv A_{\alpha jk}$ $= A_{\alpha jk}^\dagger$
 ↑ ↑
 system operator relative state decomposition

$$Q_\alpha(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} A_{\alpha jk} \rho A_{\alpha jk}^\dagger \quad \leftarrow \begin{cases} \text{Kraus decomposition} \\ \text{Operator-sum representation} \end{cases}$$

↑ trace-decreasing quantum operation

↑ Kraus operator
 Operation element → any operator A with $A^\dagger A \leq \mathbb{1}$

$$P_\alpha = \text{tr}(Q_\alpha(\rho)) = \text{tr}\left(\rho \underbrace{\sum_{j,k} A_{\alpha jk}^\dagger A_{\alpha jk}}_{\mathbb{0} \leq E_\alpha \leq \mathbb{1}}\right)$$

Measurement statistics are always described by a PVM.

$$\sum_\alpha E_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha j,k} A_{\alpha jk}^\dagger A_{\alpha jk} = \sum_{\alpha j,k} \lambda_k \langle e_k| U^\dagger |f_{\alpha j}\rangle \langle f_{\alpha j}| U |e_k\rangle$$

$$= \mathbb{1} \sum_k \lambda_k \langle e_k| e_k\rangle \rightarrow \text{tr}(\sigma)$$

$$= \mathbb{1}$$

Summarize:

Measurement statistics

$$P_\alpha = \text{tr}(Q_\alpha(\rho)) = \text{tr}\left(\rho \sum_{j,k} \overbrace{A_{\alpha jk}^\dagger}^{E_\alpha} A_{\alpha jk}\right)$$

Post-measurement state

$$P_\alpha = \frac{Q_\alpha(\rho)}{P_\alpha} = \frac{1}{P_\alpha} \sum_{j,k} A_{\alpha jk} \rho A_{\alpha jk}^\dagger$$

$$0 \leq E_\alpha \leq 1, \quad \mathbb{1} = \sum_\alpha E_\alpha = \sum_{j,k} A_{\alpha jk}^\dagger A_{\alpha jk}$$

Ignorance of result

$$\rho' = \sum_\alpha P_\alpha P_\alpha = \sum_\alpha Q_\alpha(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} A_{\alpha jk} \rho A_{\alpha jk}^\dagger \equiv Q(\rho)$$

① Any interaction with an environment can be regarded as a measurement with results ignored.

② The jk in $A_{\alpha jk}$ can be regarded as a coarse graining over unresolvable results, j from coarse-grained measurement on A , k from not knowing initial state of A

Kraus representation theorem. Given a set of

superoperators, $Q_\alpha(\rho) = \sum_j A_{\alpha j} \rho A_{\alpha j}^\dagger$, with

$\sum_{\alpha j} A_{\alpha j}^\dagger A_{\alpha j} = \mathbb{1}$, there exists an ancilla with initial

state $|e_0\rangle\langle e_0|$, unitary U on QA , and orthogonal

projectors P_α such that

$$Q_\alpha(\rho) = \text{tr}_A(P_\alpha U \rho \otimes |e_0\rangle\langle e_0| U^\dagger).$$

Quantum operations \iff measurement model

Why pure-state ancilla? Can always purify σ .

What about a single quantum operation? Complete w/ $A_\alpha \sqrt{1-E_\alpha}$

Do we need to consider quantum operations on the ancilla?

No, the theorem shows these could always be gotten from OPs on a yet bigger ancilla.

Proof: Take any ancilla pure state $|e_0\rangle\langle e_0|$ and any ancilla orthonormal basis $|f_{\alpha_j}\rangle$. Partially define a joint QA operator U by

$$\langle f_{\alpha_j} | U | e_0 \rangle = A_{\alpha_j} \iff U |\psi\rangle \otimes |e_0\rangle = \sum_{\alpha_j} A_{\alpha_j} |\psi\rangle \otimes |f_{\alpha_j}\rangle$$

\uparrow partial definition
 \uparrow relative-state decomposition

Is this partial definition consistent with U being unitary? It is if it preserves inner products:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi | \otimes \langle \alpha_j | U^\dagger (U |\psi\rangle \otimes |e_0\rangle) &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j, j \\ \beta_k, k}} \langle \phi | A_{\beta_k}^\dagger A_{\alpha_j} |\psi\rangle \underbrace{\langle f_{\beta_k} | f_{\alpha_j} \rangle}_{\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{jk}} \\ &= \langle \phi | \underbrace{\sum_{\alpha_j} A_{\alpha_j}^\dagger A_{\alpha_j}}_I |\psi\rangle \\ &= \langle \phi | \psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This means that U maps the D -dimensional subspace $\mathcal{H}_Q \otimes R_0$, where R_0 is the 1-d subspace spanned by $|e_0\rangle$, unitarily to a D -dimensional subspace S_0 of $\mathcal{H}_Q \otimes \mathcal{H}_A$. U can be extended to be a unitary operator on all of $\mathcal{H}_Q \otimes \mathcal{H}_A$ by defining it to map the subspace $\mathcal{H}_Q \otimes R_\perp$, where R_\perp is orthogonal to R_0 , unitarily to the subspace orthogonal to S_0 .

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_\alpha(\rho) &= \sum_j A_{\alpha j} \rho A_{\alpha j}^\dagger \\
&= \sum_j \langle f_{\alpha j} | U \rho | e_0 \rangle \langle e_0 | U^\dagger | f_{\alpha j} \rangle \\
&= \text{tr}_A \left(\underbrace{\sum_j |f_{\alpha j}\rangle \langle f_{\alpha j}|}_{P_\alpha} U \rho | e_0 \rangle \langle e_0 | U^\dagger \right)
\end{aligned}$$



Quantum state tomography

ρ recoverable from $P_\alpha = \text{tr}(\rho E_\alpha)$
 ↑
 operator basis
 rank-one?

$$G = \sum_\alpha |E_\alpha\rangle \langle E_\alpha| \leftarrow \begin{matrix} \text{positive superoperator,} \\ \text{so invertible} \end{matrix}$$

$$\rho = \sum_\alpha G^{-1} |E_\alpha\rangle \langle E_\alpha| P_\alpha = \sum_\alpha P_\alpha G^{-1} |E_\alpha\rangle \langle E_\alpha|$$

Qubit:

① Measure X, Y, and Z 6 probabilities & independent $\Rightarrow \begin{matrix} \langle X \rangle \\ \langle Y \rangle \\ \langle Z \rangle \end{matrix} \Rightarrow \rho$

② Tetrahedron

Quantum process tomography

$R(\rho)$

State tomography for a basis ρ of input states