

Physics 522. Quantum Mechanics II

Problem Set #3 – Tensors and the Wigner-Eckart Theorem

Due Monday, Mar. 5, 2001

Problem 1: Light-shift for multilevel atoms (15 points)

In P.S. #1, we found the AC-Stark (light shift) for the case of a two-level atom driven by a monochromatic field. The ground state energy level shift was $\Delta E_g = -\alpha |E_0|^2 / 4$, where $\alpha = -\langle e | \hat{d} | g \rangle^2 / (\hbar \Delta)$ is the atomic “polarizability” with $\Delta = \omega_L - \omega_{eg}$ the detuning of the applied “laser frequency” from the atomic resonance frequency. In this problem we want to look at this phenomenon in a more general context, including arbitrary polarization of the electric field, and atoms with multiple sublevels.

Consider then a general monochromatic electric field $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})e^{-i\omega_L t})$, driving an atom near resonance on the transition, $|g; J_g\rangle \rightarrow |e; J_e\rangle$, where the ground and excited manifolds are each described by some total angular momentum J with degeneracy $2J+1$. The generalization of the AC-Stark shift is now the light-shift operator acting on the $2J_g + 1$ dimensional ground manifold:

$$\hat{V}_{LS}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{E}^*(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \hat{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Here $\hat{\alpha} = -\frac{\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ge} \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{eg}}{\hbar \Delta}$ is the atomic polarizability tensor operator, where $\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{eg} \equiv \hat{P}_e \hat{\mathbf{d}} \hat{P}_g$ is the dipole operator, projected between the ground and excited manifolds; the projector onto the excited manifold is, $\hat{P}_e = \sum_{M_e=-J_e}^{J_e} |e; J_e, M_e\rangle \langle e; J_e, M_e|$, and similarly for the ground.

(a) By expanding the dipole operator in the spherical basis, **show** that the polarizability operator can be written,

$$\hat{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha} \left(\sum_{q, M_g} |C_{M_g}^{M_g+q}|^2 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_q |g; J_g, M_g\rangle \langle g; J_g, M_g| \bar{\mathbf{e}}_q^* + \sum_{q \neq q', M_g} C_{M_g+q-q'}^{M_g+q} C_{M_g}^{M_g+q} \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{q'} |g; J_g, M_g+q-q'\rangle \langle g; J_g, M_g| \bar{\mathbf{e}}_q^* \right),$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{\alpha} \equiv -\frac{\langle e; J_e || d || g; J_g \rangle^2}{\hbar \Delta} \text{ and } C_{M_g}^{M_e} \equiv \langle J_e M_e | 1q J_g M_g \rangle.$$

Explain physically, using dipole selection rules, the meaning of the expression for $\hat{\alpha}$.

(b) Consider a polarized plane wave, with complex amplitude of the form, $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) = E_1 \bar{\mathbf{e}}_L e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ where E_1 is the amplitude and $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_L$ the polarization (possibly complex). For an atom driven on the transition $|g; J_g = 1\rangle \rightarrow |e; J_e = 2\rangle$ and the cases (i) linear polarization along z , (ii) positive helicity

polarization, (iii) linear polarization along x , **find** the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the light-shift operator. Express the eigenvalues in units of $V_1 = -\frac{1}{4}\tilde{\alpha}|E_1|^2$. Please **comment** on what you find for cases (i) and (iii). **Repeat** for $|g; J_g = 1/2\rangle \rightarrow |e; J_e = 3/2\rangle$ and **comment**.

(c) A deeper insight into the light-shift potential can be seen by expressing the polarizability operator in terms of irreducible tensors. **Show** that we get the sum of scalar, vector, and rank-2 irreducible tensor interaction,

$$\hat{V}_{LS} = -\frac{1}{4}\left(|\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})|^2 \hat{\alpha}^{(0)} + (\mathbf{E}^*(\mathbf{x}) \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \hat{\alpha}^{(1)} + \mathbf{E}^*(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \hat{\alpha}^{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})\right),$$

where $\hat{\alpha}^{(0)} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ge} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{eg}}{-3\hbar\Delta}$, $\hat{\alpha}^{(1)} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ge} \times \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{eg}}{-2\hbar\Delta}$, $\hat{\alpha}_{ij}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{-\hbar\Delta} \left((\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ge}^i \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ge}^j + \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ge}^j \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ge}^i) / 2 - \hat{\alpha}^{(0)} \delta_{ij} \right)$.

(d) For the particular case of $|g; J_g = 1/2\rangle \rightarrow |e; J_e = 3/2\rangle$, **show** that the rank-2 tensor part *vanishes*. **Show** that the light-shift operator can be written in a basis independent *form* of a scalar interaction (independent of the sublevel), plus an effective Zeeman interaction for a fictitious B-field interacting with the spin 1/2 ground state,

$$\hat{V}_{LS} = V_0(\mathbf{x})\hat{1} + \mathbf{B}_{fict}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$$

Extra credit for the following three parts (10 points)

(e) Explicitly show that

$$V_0(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{2}{3}U_1|\vec{\mathcal{E}}_L(\mathbf{x})|^2 \quad (\text{proportional to field intensity}) \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{fict}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{3}U_1 \left(\frac{\vec{\mathcal{E}}_L^*(\mathbf{x}) \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}_L(\mathbf{x})}{i} \right), \quad (\text{proportional to the field ellipticity}),$$

and I have written $E(\mathbf{x}) = E_1 \vec{\mathcal{E}}_L(\mathbf{x})$. Use this form to **explain** your results from part (b) on the transition $|g; J_g = 1/2\rangle \rightarrow |e; J_e = 3/2\rangle$.

Consider now the non-plane wave case. Suppose we have a superposition of plane waves, e.g. two counterpropagating cross-polarized linearly plane waves (this configuration is known as lin⊥lin, and plays an important role in the theory of laser cooling). The spatial amplitude is,

$$\mathbf{E}(z) = E_1 e^{ikz} \vec{\mathbf{e}}_x + E_1 e^{-ikz} \vec{\mathbf{e}}_y = \sqrt{2}V_1 \left(e^{-i\pi/4} \sin(kz - \frac{\pi}{4}) \vec{\mathbf{e}}_+ + e^{i\pi/4} \cos(kz - \frac{\pi}{4}) \vec{\mathbf{e}}_- \right).$$

The second expression shows that the field can be viewed as a superposition of right and left helicity standing waves, with the nodes of one matching the antinodes of the other.

(f) In lin⊥lin **show** that the light-shift operator is invariant under a rotation by 180° about the z-axis and thus the eigenvectors must be superpositions of only even or odd M_g .

(g) In lin⊥lin, **show** that the light shift operator is

$$\hat{V}_{LS}(z) = 2V_1 \left(\begin{array}{l} \sum_{M_g} \left(|C_{M_g}^{M_g+1}|^2 \sin^2(kz - \pi/4) + |C_{M_g}^{M_g-1}|^2 \cos^2(kz - \pi/4) \right) |g; J_g, M_g\rangle \langle g; J_g, M_g| \\ - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{M_g} C_{M_g+2}^{M_g+1} C_{M_g}^{M_g+1} \sin(2kz - \pi/2) |g; J_g, M_g + 2\rangle \langle g; J_g, M_g| + h.c \end{array} \right)$$

The diagonal terms in the magnetic sublevels are known as the “diabatic potentials”. The eigenvalues as a function of z including the off-diagonal terms, are known as the “adiabatic potentials”, since they represent the internal eigenvalues if the atom were to adiabatically move along the axis. **Plot** the diabatic and adiabatic potentials for the two cases: $|g; J_g = 1/2\rangle \rightarrow |e; J_e = 3/2\rangle$ and $|g; J_g = 1\rangle \rightarrow |e; J_e = 2\rangle$. Are all crossings between the diabatic potentials removed in the diagonalization? If not why.

Problem 2: Landé Projection Theorem (10 Points)

The Landé Projection Theorem (LPT) is a special case of the Wigner-Eckart Theorem for the case that the initial and final state are the same angular momentum. It states:

$$\langle \alpha'; j m' | \hat{\mathbf{V}} | \alpha; j m \rangle = \frac{\langle \alpha'; j | \hat{\mathbf{J}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{V}} | \alpha; j \rangle}{j(j+1)} \langle j m' | \hat{\mathbf{J}} | j m \rangle, \text{ where } \hat{\mathbf{V}} \text{ is a vector operator w.r.t. } \hat{\mathbf{J}}.$$

- (a) Give a geometric interpretation of this in terms of a vector picture.
- (b) To prove this theorem, take the following steps (do not give verbatim, Sakurai’s derivation):
- Show that $\langle \alpha'; j, m | \hat{\mathbf{J}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{V}} | \alpha; j, m \rangle = \langle \alpha'; j | J | \alpha'; j \rangle \langle \alpha'; j | V | \alpha; j \rangle$, independent of m .
 - Use this to show, $|\langle \alpha; j | J | \alpha; j \rangle|^2 = j(j+1)$ independent of α .
 - Show that $\langle j m' | 1q j m \rangle = \langle j m' | \hat{J}_q | j m \rangle / \sqrt{j(j+1)}$.
 - Put it all together to prove the LPT.
- (c) As an application of the LPT, consider the Zeeman interaction for hydrogen.

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = -\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \cdot \mathbf{B},$$

where the magnetic dipole operators is $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = -\mu_B (g_l \hat{\mathbf{L}} + g_s \hat{\mathbf{S}})$, with $g_l = 1$, $g_s = 2$.

If the Zeeman effect is small compared to the fine-structure, but ignoring hyperfine structure, use the LPT to show that in a state nL_j , the magnetic moment has the form,

$\hat{\mu} = -g_J \mu_B \hat{\mathbf{J}}$, where $g_J = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{S(S+1) - L(L+1)}{2J(J+1)}$ is known as the Landé g-factor.

Hint: Prove then use $\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{J}^2 - \mathbf{L}^2 - \mathbf{S}^2)$ and $\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{J}^2 - \mathbf{L}^2 - \mathbf{S}^2)$.

(d) Estimate the magnetic field strength at which the Zeeman interaction becomes on the order of the fine structure splitting between the $2p_{1/2}$ and $2p_{3/2}$ state in hydrogen.

Problem 3: Natural lifetimes of Hydrogen (10 points)

Though in the absence of any perturbation, an atom in the excited state will stay there forever (it is a stationary state), in reality it will “spontaneously decay” to the ground state. Fundamentally this occurs because the atom is always perturbed by “vacuum fluctuations” in the electromagnetic field. We will find later in the semester, the spontaneous emission rate on a dipole allowed transition from initial excited state $|\psi_e\rangle$ to all allowed ground states $|\psi_g\rangle$ is ,

$$\Gamma = \frac{4}{3\hbar} k^3 \sum_g \left| \langle \psi_g | \hat{\mathbf{d}} | \psi_e \rangle \right|^2, \text{ where } k = \omega_{eg} / c \text{ is the emitted photon's wave number.}$$

Consider now hydrogen including fine-structure. For a given sublevel, the spontaneous emission rate is

$$\Gamma_{(nLJM_J) \rightarrow (n'L'J'M'_J)} = \frac{4}{3\hbar} k^3 \sum_{M'_J} \left| \langle n'L'J'M'_J | \hat{\mathbf{d}} | nLJM_J \rangle \right|^2.$$

(a) Show that the spontaneous emission rate is *independent* of the initial M_J . Explain this result physically.

(b) Calculate the lifetime ($\tau=1/\Gamma$) of the $2p_{1/2}$ state in seconds.