

Physics 581: Open Quantum Systems

Lecture 6: Phase Space Representations

As discussed in Lecture 1, classical statistical physics can be described by the Liouville equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{P}(\vec{q}, \vec{p}, t) = \{H(\vec{q}, \vec{p}), \mathcal{P}(\vec{q}, \vec{p}, t)\} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{diss}}[\mathcal{P}(\vec{q}, \vec{p}, t)]$$

Here $\mathcal{P}(\vec{q}, \vec{p}, t)$ is the probability distribution on phase space which reflects our incomplete knowledge of the exact microstate specified by the point in phase space (\vec{q}, \vec{p}) . The Hamiltonian flow is governed by the Poisson bracket $\{H(\vec{q}, \vec{p}), \mathcal{P}(\vec{q}, \vec{p}, t)\} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{q}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial \vec{p}} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{p}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial \vec{q}}$ and the dissipative (open system evolution) is governed by the "Liouvillian" map $\mathcal{L}_{\text{diss}}[\mathcal{P}(\vec{q}, \vec{p}, t)]$.

In our survey of open quantum systems, we will see the analogous Liouville description. Of particular interest is to explore the conditions under which the quantum description reduces to classical statistical mechanics. In particular, we will see how decoherence is essential in understanding the quantum-to-classical transition.

Introduction to Phase Space Representations

Obviously, quantum statistical physics differs from classical statistical physics; we cannot generally specify a state in terms of a joint probability on phase space. However, we can ask how close we can get, and in that way uncover the particularities that distinguish quantum and classical physics.

To begin, we know that we can specify a probability distribution in position space

$$P(x) = \langle x | \hat{\rho} | x \rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} |x\rangle\langle x|)$$

For operators that are solely functions of position, e.g. potential energy $V(\hat{x})$

$$\langle V(\hat{x}) \rangle = \int dx P(x) V(x) \quad \text{where} \quad V(x) = \langle x | V(\hat{x}) | x \rangle$$

In the position representation $\hat{x} \Rightarrow x$. Let us introduce the delta function $\delta(\hat{x}-x)$. Recalling $f(\hat{A}) = \sum_a f(a) |a\rangle\langle a|$ where $\hat{A} = \sum_a a |a\rangle\langle a|$

$$\delta(\hat{x}-x) = \int dx' \delta(x'-x) |x'\rangle\langle x'| = |x\rangle\langle x|$$

This delta function is thus equal to the projector operator on $|x\rangle$

$$\Rightarrow P(x) = \langle x | \hat{\rho} | x \rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \delta(\hat{x}-x))$$

Similarly, for the momentum representation

$$P(p) = \langle p | \hat{\rho} | p \rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} |p\rangle\langle p|) = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \delta(\hat{p}-p))$$

For operators that are solely a function of momentum, e.g. kinetic energy, we can calculate expectation values as statistical averages

$$\left\langle \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} \right\rangle = \int dp \frac{p^2}{2m} P(p)$$

But what about a joint probability distribution?

We might define $P(x,p) = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \delta(\hat{x}-x) \delta(\hat{p}-p))$, but the problem is that X and P don't commute. Who is to say \hat{x} comes before \hat{p} and not \hat{p} before \hat{x} . Moreover $[\delta(\hat{x}-x) \delta(\hat{p}-p)]^\dagger \neq \delta(\hat{x}-x) \delta(\hat{p}-p)$ so this is nothing like a probability distribution as the trace with $\hat{\rho}$ is complex.

A natural object to consider is a symmetric delta function $\delta(\hat{x}-x, \hat{p}-p)$.

We will define what this means in a moment. Given such an object, we define

$$\boxed{W(x,p) \equiv \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \delta(\hat{x}-x, \hat{p}-p))} = \text{Wigner function}$$

The Wigner function is an example of a phase-space representation of the quantum state. However, as we will see, the operator $\delta(\hat{x}-x, \hat{p}-p)$ is not positive. The $W(x,p)$ can be negative. The Wigner function is not generally a probability distribution. It is a quasi probability distribution.

Operator Plane Wave and Delta Functions on Phase Space

In Lecture 5, we defined the two dimensional delta function on phase space

$$\delta(x-x_0, p-p_0) = \int \frac{dx' dp'}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i(p-p_0)x' + i(x-x_0)p'} \quad (\text{Fourier transform of plane wave})$$

We thus define the (symmetric) delta function operator

$$\hat{\delta}(\hat{x}-x_0, \hat{p}-p_0) = \int \frac{dx' dp'}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i(\hat{p}-p_0)x' + i(\hat{x}-x_0)p'} = \int \frac{dx' dp'}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i(p_0 x' - x_0 p')} \underbrace{e^{-i\hat{p}x' + i\hat{x}p'}}_{\hat{D}(x', p')}$$

- Displacement operator: operator plane wave
- Symmetric delta function operator: 2D Fourier transform of Displacement operator

Note $\hat{D}(x', p') = e^{-i\hat{p}x' + i\hat{x}p'} = \sum_n \frac{(-i\hat{p}x' + i\hat{x}p')^n}{n!}$ is symmetrically ordered in \hat{x} and \hat{p} .

Written in complex form: $\hat{\delta}^{(2)}(\hat{a}-\alpha, \hat{a}^\dagger-\alpha^*) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi^2} e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta} \hat{D}(\beta)$

Operator Basis

As plane waves form a complete orthonormal basis for function on phase space, the operator equivalent, the displacement operators, form a basis for operator functions of \hat{x} and \hat{p} . Using our operator notation

$$(\hat{D}(\alpha) | \hat{D}(\beta)) = \text{Tr}(\hat{D}^\dagger(\alpha) \hat{D}(\beta)) = \pi \delta^{(2)}(\alpha-\beta) \quad (\text{orthogonal})$$

$$\int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} | \hat{D}(\beta) \rangle \langle \hat{D}(\beta) | = \hat{\mathbb{I}} \quad (\text{span operator space})$$

$$\hat{A} \Rightarrow | \hat{A} \rangle = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} | \hat{D}(\beta) \rangle \langle \hat{D}(\beta) | \hat{A} : \quad \hat{A} = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} \text{Tr}(\hat{D}^\dagger(\beta) \hat{A}) \hat{D}(\beta)$$

The symmetric delta functions also form a operator basis.

$$\text{Let us define } \hat{\Gamma}(\alpha) = \pi \hat{\delta}^{(2)}(\hat{a}-\alpha, \hat{a}^\dagger-\alpha^*) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta} \hat{D}(\beta) \quad (\text{Fourier transform of Displacement})$$

$$\text{Note } \hat{\Gamma}^\dagger(\alpha) = \hat{\Gamma}(\alpha)$$

$$\hat{D}(\beta) = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} e^{\beta\alpha^* - \beta^*\alpha} \hat{\Gamma}(\alpha) \quad (\text{inverse Fourier})$$

$$\Rightarrow \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} (\hat{T}(\alpha) | \hat{A} \rangle \langle \hat{T}(\alpha) | = \hat{A}$$

From this we come to the fundamental phase space relation.

For any operator \hat{A} , we define the "Weyl symbol" $A_w(\alpha)$

$$A_w(\alpha) = \langle \hat{T}(\alpha) | \hat{A} \rangle = \pi \text{Tr}(\hat{A} \delta(\hat{a}-\alpha, \hat{a}^\dagger-\alpha^*)) = 2\pi \text{Tr}(\hat{A} \delta(\hat{x}-X, \hat{p}-P))$$

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{A}) = \langle \hat{\rho} | \hat{A} \rangle = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} \langle \hat{\rho} | \hat{T}(\alpha) \rangle \langle \hat{T}(\alpha) | \hat{A} \rangle = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} \rho_w(\alpha) A_w(\alpha)$$

The Wigner function (with usual normalization) $W(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} \rho_w(\alpha)$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \hat{A} \rangle = \int d^2\alpha W(\alpha) A_w(\alpha) = \int dX dP W(X, P) A_w(X, P)$$

We thus have a phase space representation of quantum mechanics which has great resemblance to classical statistical mechanics. Except $W(X, P)$ is generally a quasi-probability distribution which can be negative.


Properties of the Wigner Function

- $W(\alpha)$ is real
- Normalization $\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho})=1 \Rightarrow \int d^2\alpha W(\alpha) = \int dX dP W(X, P) = 1$
- Marginals $W(X, P)$ gives the correct marginal probability distributions

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dP W(X, P) = \mathcal{P}(X) = \langle X | \hat{\rho} | X \rangle, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dX W(X, P) = \mathcal{P}(P) = \langle P | \hat{\rho} | P \rangle$$

To see this, note $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dP \delta(\hat{x}-X, \hat{p}-P) = \delta(\hat{x}-X) = |X\rangle\langle X|$
 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dX \delta(\hat{x}-X, \hat{p}-P) = \delta(\hat{p}-P) = |P\rangle\langle P|$

More general the Wigner function gives the correct marginals for any quadratures



$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dP_\theta W(X_\theta, P_\theta) = \mathcal{P}(X_\theta) = \langle X_\theta | \hat{\rho} | X_\theta \rangle$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dX_\theta W(X_\theta, P_\theta) = \mathcal{P}(P_\theta) = \langle P_\theta | \hat{\rho} | P_\theta \rangle$$

- Negativity: $W(x,p)$ can be negative. It is a quasiprobability distribution.
"Negative probabilities" distinguish quantum from classical mechanics

• Hudson Theorem: Given a pure state $\hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, $W(x,p)$ is everywhere positive iff $W(x,p)$ is a Gaussian function. Gaussian (pure) states are essentially classical, in that the measurement outcomes of any quadrature X_θ have a classical statistical interpretation. Non Gaussian Wigner functions associated with pure states are a quantum "resource." Further note, the Gaussian cannot have arbitrary localization. For a physical state we must have $\Delta X \Delta P \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

- Expectation Values: $\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{A}) = \int dx dp W(x,p) A_w(x,p)$

Where $A_w(x,p)$ is the Weyl symbol of \hat{A} . Note, if $\hat{A} = f(\hat{X}, \hat{P})$ is a symmetric function of \hat{X} and \hat{P} , e.g. $f(\hat{X}, \hat{P}) = \hat{X}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{X}$, then $A_w(x,p) = f(x,p) = 2XP$

Calculating the Wigner function

In complex variables, we have $W(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{T}(\alpha)) = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \delta(\hat{a} - \alpha, \hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^*))$

$$\text{Where } \delta(\hat{a} - \alpha, \hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^*) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi^2} e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta} \hat{D}(\beta)$$

$$\Rightarrow W(\alpha) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta} \chi(\beta) \quad \text{where } \chi(\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{D}(\beta)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle \hat{D}(\beta) \rangle$$

$\chi(\beta)$ is known as the "characteristic function"

The Wigner function is the inverse Fourier transform of the characteristic function. Thus, one way to calculate $W(\alpha)$ is first calculate $\chi(\beta)$ and then take the inverse. Conversely, the characteristic function is the Fourier transform of the Wigner function.

$$\chi(\beta) = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} e^{\beta\alpha^* - \beta^*\alpha} W(\alpha)$$

The use of the characteristic function is a standard tool in classical statistics; the Fourier transform of probability distribution is

$$\chi(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-ikx} P(x)$$

This is a "moment generating" function. The moments of the distribution are the derivatives of the characteristic function at the origin

$$\frac{1}{(-i)^n} \frac{d^n}{dk^n} \chi(k) \Big|_{k=0} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x^n P(x) = \overline{x^n}$$

Similarly for the quasi-probability

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial \beta^n} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \alpha^m} \chi(\beta, \beta^*) \Big|_{\beta=\beta^*=0} = \int d^2\alpha (\alpha^*)^n (\alpha)^m W(\alpha, \alpha^*) = \langle \{ \hat{a}^{\dagger n} \hat{a}^m \}_{\text{sym}} \rangle$$

Here we used that the Weyl symbol of symmetrically ordered $\{ \hat{a}^{\dagger n} \hat{a}^m \}_{\text{sym}}$ is $\alpha^{*n} \alpha^m$

Examples:

- Wigner function of a coherent state $|\psi\rangle = |\alpha_0\rangle$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{Characteristic function: } \chi(\beta) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \langle \alpha_0 | \hat{D}(\beta) | \alpha_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle \alpha_0 | e^{\beta \hat{a}^\dagger - \beta^* \hat{a}} | \alpha_0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\beta|^2} \langle \alpha_0 | e^{\beta \hat{a}^\dagger} e^{-\beta^* \hat{a}} | \alpha_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\beta|^2} e^{\alpha_0^* \beta - \alpha_0 \beta^*} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow W(\alpha) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} e^{\alpha \beta^* - \alpha^* \beta} \chi(\beta) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} e^{(\alpha - \alpha_0) \beta^* - (\alpha - \alpha_0)^* \beta} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\beta|^2}$$

$$\text{Aside: Gaussian integrals } \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} e^{-\gamma|\beta|^2} e^{\alpha \beta^* - \alpha^* \beta} = \frac{1}{\gamma} e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{\gamma}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{W(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|\alpha - \alpha_0|^2}} \quad \text{Gaussian centered at } \alpha_0 = \underline{x_0 + i p_0}$$

$$\text{In } x, p \quad W(x, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} W(\alpha = \frac{x+ip}{\sqrt{2}}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2}} e^{-\frac{(p-p_0)^2}{2}} \quad \Delta x = \Delta p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$



When we draw the uncertainty bubble, we can think of this as a contour of the covariance of a Gaussian.


• Fock State $|n\rangle = |n\rangle$

$$\chi(\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle n | \hat{D}(\beta) | n \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|\beta|^2/2} L_n(|\beta|^2)$$


Laguerre polynomial (see homework)

$$\Rightarrow W(\alpha) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta} \chi(\beta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi} e^{-2|\alpha|^2} L_n(4|\alpha|^2)$$

$$W(x, p) = \frac{1}{\pi} (-1)^n e^{-(x^2+p^2)} L_n(2(x^2+p^2))$$



Below are surface plots of the Wigner function for common examples



Taken from: P. Grangier, Science 332 313 (2011)

Other Phase Space Representations

While the Wigner function is natural as a symmetrically ordered representation, there are other phase space representations associated with different operator orderings, which also have utility in understanding the quantum-classical boundary.

s-ordered representations

Consider an operator $\hat{A} = f(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger)$ we can formally express \hat{A} as a power series in powers of \hat{a} and \hat{a}^\dagger , but since they don't commute, the expansion coefficients will be different depending on the operator ordering. Three common examples are.

$$\hat{A} = f(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) = \sum_{n,m} c_{nm}^{+1} (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n (\hat{a})^m \quad (\text{normal order})$$

$$\hat{A} = f(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) = \sum_{n,m} c_{nm}^0 \left\{ (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n \hat{a}^m \right\}_0 \quad (\text{symmetric order})$$

$$\hat{A} = f(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}) = \sum_{n,m} c_{nm}^{-1} \hat{a}^m \hat{a}^{\dagger n} \quad (\text{antinormal order})$$

All three are the same operator, but the coefficients $c_{n,m}^s$ ($s = +1, 0, -1$) are different. $s = +1$ is normal, $s = 0$ is symmetric, $s = -1$ is antinormal. Symmetric order implies all permutations: e.g. $\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} = \frac{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger}{2} = \left\{ \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \right\}_0$

We now define the s-ordered representation: $A_s(\alpha, \alpha^*) = \sum_{n,m} c_{nm}^s (\alpha^*)^n \alpha^m$

Note, there are different conventions. Some authors refer to this as $A_{-s}(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ for reasons we will see. Each of these representations is different.

Clearly, $A_0(\alpha, \alpha^*) = A_w(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ the Weyl symbol, since the Weyl symbol of $\left\{ (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n \hat{a}^m \right\}_0$ is $(\alpha^*)^n \alpha^m$.

Then $W(\alpha) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \rho_0(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ (Wigner function).

We define $Q(\alpha) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \rho_{+1}(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ (Husimi function)

$P(\alpha) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \rho_{-1}(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ (Glauber-Sudarshan P-function)

We also never actually do the operator ordering by hand. We can find these functions more directly.

Generating function of ordered-products

$$\text{Consider } \hat{D}(\beta) = e^{\beta \hat{a}^\dagger - \beta^* \hat{a}} = \sum_n \frac{(\beta \hat{a}^\dagger - \beta^* \hat{a})^n}{n!} = \sum_{n,m} \frac{\beta^n (-\beta^*)^m}{n! m!} \{ \hat{a}^{\dagger n} \hat{a}^m \}_0$$

The symmetrically ordered displacement operator is the generator of a symmetrically ordered power series.

$$\text{Now we have } \hat{A} = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} \text{Tr}(\hat{D}^\dagger(\beta) \hat{A}) \hat{D}(\beta) = \sum_{n,m} C_{nm}^0 \{ \hat{a}^{\dagger n} \hat{a}^m \}_0$$

$$\Rightarrow C_{nm}^0 = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} \frac{\beta^n (-\beta^*)^m}{n! m!} \text{Tr}(\hat{D}(\beta) \hat{A})$$

$$A_0(\alpha, \alpha^*) = \sum_{n,m} C_{nm}^0 (\alpha^*)^n \alpha^m = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} \sum_n \frac{(\alpha^* \beta)^n}{n!} \sum_m \frac{(\alpha \beta^*)^m}{m!} \text{Tr}(\hat{D}(\beta) \hat{A})$$

$$= \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} e^{\alpha^* \beta - \alpha \beta^*} \text{Tr}(\hat{D}(\beta) \hat{A}) = \text{Tr}(\hat{T}(\alpha) \hat{A}) = \text{Tr}(\delta(\hat{a} - \alpha, \hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^*) \hat{A})$$

Weyl symbol!

We obtain other operator orderings as follows

$$\text{Normal } : \hat{D}(\beta) : \equiv e^{\beta \hat{a}^\dagger} e^{-\beta^* \hat{a}} = \sum_{n,m} \frac{\beta^n (-\beta^*)^m}{n! m!} (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n (\hat{a})^m$$

$$\text{Note: } \hat{D}(\beta) = e^{-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}} e^{\beta \hat{a}^\dagger} e^{-\beta^* \hat{a}} = e^{-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}} : \hat{D}(\beta) : \Rightarrow : \hat{D}(\beta) : = e^{+\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}} \hat{D}(\beta)$$

$$\text{Antinormal: } \cdot \cdot \hat{D}(\beta) \cdot \cdot = e^{-\beta^* \hat{a}} e^{\beta \hat{a}^\dagger} = \sum_{n,m} \frac{\beta^n (-\beta^*)^m}{n! m!} (\hat{a})^m (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n$$

$$\hat{D}(\beta) = e^{+\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}} e^{-\beta^* \hat{a}} e^{\beta \hat{a}^\dagger} = e^{+\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}} \cdot \cdot \hat{D}(\beta) \cdot \cdot \Rightarrow \cdot \cdot \hat{D}(\beta) \cdot \cdot = e^{-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}} \hat{D}(\beta)$$

Generally we define s-ordered $\hat{D}_s(\beta) = e^{s|\beta|^2/2} \hat{D}(\beta)$ $s = -1, 0, +1$

$$\text{Now } \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} |\hat{D}(\beta)| |\hat{D}(\beta)| = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} |\hat{D}_s(\beta)| |\hat{D}_{-s}(\beta)| \quad \text{since } e^{\frac{s|\beta|^2}{2}} e^{-\frac{s|\beta|^2}{2}} = 1$$

Since $\hat{D}_s(\beta)$ is s-order, we obtain the coefficients C_{nm}^s as before

$$|\hat{A}\rangle = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} |\hat{D}_s(\beta)\rangle \langle \hat{D}_{-s}(\beta) | \hat{A} \rangle \Rightarrow \hat{A} = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} \text{Tr}(\hat{D}_{-s}^\dagger(\beta) \hat{A}) \hat{D}_s(\beta) = \sum_{n,m} c_{nm}^s \{ \hat{a}^{\dagger n} \hat{a}^m \}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{n,m}^s = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} \frac{\beta^n (-\beta^*)^m}{n! m!} \text{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{D}_{-s}(\beta))$$

$$\Rightarrow A_s(\alpha, \alpha^*) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta} \text{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{D}_{-s}(\beta))$$

$$A_s(\alpha, \alpha^*) = \text{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{T}_{-s}(\alpha))$$

Note the opposite sign!

Where I defined the s-order delta function

$$\hat{T}_s(\alpha) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta} \hat{D}_s(\beta) = \pi \delta_s(\hat{a} - \alpha, \hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^*)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_{+1}(\alpha) &= \pi \delta(\hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^*) \delta(\hat{a} - \alpha) & : \text{Normally ordered delta function} \\ \hat{T}_{-1}(\alpha) &= \pi \delta(\hat{a} - \alpha) \delta(\hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^*) & : \text{Antinormally ordered delta function} \end{aligned}$$

From completeness $\int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} |\hat{D}_s(\beta)\rangle \langle \hat{D}_{-s}(\beta)| = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} |\hat{T}_s(\alpha)\rangle \langle \hat{T}_{-s}(\alpha)|$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \langle \hat{A} \rangle &= \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{A}) = \langle \hat{\rho} | \hat{A} \rangle = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} \langle \hat{\rho} | \hat{T}_s(\alpha) \rangle \langle \hat{T}_{-s}(\alpha) | \hat{A} \rangle \\ &= \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} \rho_s(\alpha, \alpha^*) A_s(\alpha, \alpha) \quad \text{Dual pairing!} \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \int d^2\alpha W_{-s}(\alpha) A_s(\alpha) \quad \text{Note the dual pairing!}$$

$$W_s(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} \rho_s(\alpha, \alpha) \quad W_0(\alpha) = \text{Wigner}, \quad W_{-1}(\alpha) = P(\alpha), \quad W_{+1}(\alpha) = Q(\alpha)$$

$$\hat{A} = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} A_s(\alpha, \alpha^*) \hat{T}_s(\alpha)$$

Note: $\hat{T}_{-1}(\alpha) = \pi \delta(\hat{a} - \alpha) \delta(\hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^*) = \pi \int \frac{d^2\gamma}{\pi} \delta(\hat{a} - \alpha) |\gamma\rangle \langle \gamma| \delta(\hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^*)$
 $= \int d^2\gamma \delta^{(2)}(\gamma - \alpha) |\gamma\rangle \langle \gamma| = |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| = \hat{T}_{-1}(\alpha)$

$$\therefore Q(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{T}_{-1}(\alpha)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle \alpha | \hat{\rho} | \alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} |\langle \alpha | \psi \rangle|^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{everywhere}$$

The Husimi representation is everywhere positive and thus represents a true probability distribution. It is the probability of measurement outcomes associated with the POVM

$$\int d^2\alpha \frac{|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|}{\pi} = \hat{1}$$

While true, it is not generally useful for predicting the measurement outcome of any other POVM (as we will see)

Note also $\hat{\rho} = \int d^2\alpha W_s(\alpha) \frac{\hat{1}_s(\alpha)}{\pi} = \int d^2\alpha W_{-1}(\alpha) \frac{\hat{1}_{-1}(\alpha)}{\pi}$

$$\hat{\rho} = \int d^2\alpha P(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$$

Thus, if the P-function is positive, $\hat{\rho}$ is a statistical mixture of coherent states.

Existence: So far we have not actually proven that any of the operator ordered power series actually converge. To prove this we turn to the Fourier analysis.

The class of function for which the Fourier transform exists are the square normalizable functions

$$\|f\|^2 \equiv \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} |f(\alpha)|^2 : \text{Finite}$$

If $\|f\|$ is finite $\tilde{f}(\beta) = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} f(\alpha) e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta}$ exists, and

$$\|f\|^2 = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} |\tilde{f}(\beta)|^2$$

Bound operators: $\|\hat{A}\|^2 \equiv (\hat{A}|\hat{A}) = \text{Tr}(\hat{A}^\dagger\hat{A}) = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} \hat{A}_s^*(\alpha) \hat{A}_s(\alpha)$

$$\|\hat{A}\|^2 = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} |A_0(\alpha)|^2 = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} |\tilde{A}(\beta)|^2 : \text{Bounded if finite}$$

Wigner function (W representation)

$$\hat{\rho} \text{ is a bounded operator } \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^2) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} |\chi_0(\beta)|^2 \leq 1$$

\Rightarrow The characteristic function of Wigner function is in $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$

\Rightarrow $W(\alpha)$ always exists for a physical state

More generally the symmetrically ordered Weyl symbol $\hat{A}_0(\alpha)$ always exists

Husimi distribution (Q-representation)

$$Q(\alpha) = W_+(\alpha) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} \chi_+(\beta) e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta}$$

$$\chi_+(\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{D}_-(\beta)) = \frac{e^{-|\beta|^2/2}}{\pi} \chi_0(\beta)$$

\Rightarrow The characteristic function of Q is always square normalizable

\Rightarrow $Q(\alpha)$ always exists for a physical state

More generally the normally ordered Weyl symbol $\hat{A}_+(\alpha)$ always exists

Glauber distribution (P-representation)

$$P(\alpha) = W_-(\alpha) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} \chi_-(\beta) e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta}$$

$$\chi_-(\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{D}_+(\beta)) = \frac{e^{+|\beta|^2/2}}{\pi} \chi_0(\beta) : \text{Generally } \underline{\text{unbounded}}$$

\Rightarrow $P(\alpha)$ Only exists if $\chi_0(\beta)$ falls off at least as fast as fast as $e^{-|\beta|^2/2}$.

More generally, the antisymmetrically ordered Weyl symbol $\hat{A}_-(\alpha)$ doesn't exist as a "tempered" function.

Relations between P, Q, W

$$W(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int P(\beta) e^{-2|\beta-\alpha|^2} d^2\beta$$

$$Q(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int W(\beta) e^{-2|\beta-\alpha|^2} d\beta = \frac{1}{\pi} \int P(\beta) e^{-|\alpha-\beta|^2} d\beta$$

\Rightarrow W is a "smoothed" version of P, Q is a "smoothed" version of W. Q is the most "course grained" (least ripples).

Another useful formula for calculating P(α) and W(α)

Given $\hat{\rho} = \int d^2\alpha P(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| \Rightarrow \langle -\beta|\hat{\rho}|\beta\rangle = \int d^2\alpha P(\alpha) \langle -\beta|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle$

$$\Rightarrow \langle -\beta|\hat{\rho}|\beta\rangle = \int d^2\alpha P(\alpha) e^{-|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2} e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle -\beta|\hat{\rho}|\beta\rangle \frac{e^{|\beta|^2}}{\pi} = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} (P(\alpha) e^{|\alpha|^2}) e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta} \quad (2D \text{ Fourier transform})$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\alpha) = \frac{e^{|\alpha|^2}}{\pi^2} \int d^2\beta \langle -\beta|\hat{\rho}|\beta\rangle e^{|\beta|^2} e^{\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta}$$

$$W(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int P(\beta) e^{-2|\beta-\alpha|^2} d^2\beta'$$

$$\Rightarrow W(\alpha) = 2 \frac{e^{2|\alpha|^2}}{\pi^2} \int d^2\beta \langle -\beta|\hat{\rho}|\beta\rangle e^{2(\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta)}$$

Dynamics

The classical (Hamiltonian) dynamics is described by the Liouville eqn.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(x,p,t) = \underbrace{\{H(x,p), \rho(x,p,t)\}}_{\text{P.B.}} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}$$

where "P.B." stands for the Poisson bracket. We see the analogous phase space representation of Schrödinger equation. For example we seek

$$\frac{\partial W(x,p,t)}{\partial t}, \text{ the time evolution of the Wigner function.}$$

For the purpose we introduce the "star product" (also known as Moyal product)

$$\text{Let } \hat{C} = \hat{A}\hat{B}. \text{ Then the Weyl symbol } C_w(x,p) \equiv A_w(x,p) \star B_w(x,p)$$

Thus, given the Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] = -\frac{i}{\hbar} (\hat{H}\hat{\rho} - \hat{\rho}\hat{H}) \quad (\text{keeping the } \hbar\text{'s around for now})$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial W(x,p,t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} (H_w(x,p) \star W(x,p,t) - W(x,p,t) \star H(x,p)) \equiv \{H_w(x,p), W(x,p,t)\}_{\text{M.B.}}$$

Where here, "M.B" stands for Moyal Bracket. The Schrödinger equation for Wigner function has the same form as the classical Liouville equation with the Poisson bracket replaced by the Moyal bracket.

So far this is all a formal statement. We need to find the star product.

$$\text{Using the symmetric delta: } 2\pi\hbar \delta(x'-x, p'-p) \equiv \hat{T}(x,p) = \int \frac{dx'dp'}{2\pi\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(p'-p)x' + \frac{i}{\hbar}(x'-x)p'}$$

$$\text{and } \hat{A} = \int \frac{dx'dp}{2\pi\hbar} A_w(x',p') \hat{T}(x,p), \quad \hat{B} = \int \frac{dx''dp''}{2\pi\hbar} B_w(x'',p'') \hat{T}(x,p), \quad \hat{C} = \hat{A}\hat{B}$$

$$= C_w(x,p) = \text{Tr}(\hat{C} \hat{T}(x,p)) = \int \frac{dx'dp' dx''dp''}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} A_w(x',p') B_w(x'',p'') \underbrace{\text{Tr}(\hat{T}(x',p') \hat{T}(x'',p'') \hat{T}(x,p))}_{\text{With some algebra: } e^{-\frac{2i}{\hbar}[(p'-p)(x''-x) - (p''-p)(x'-x)]}}$$

With some algebra: $e^{-\frac{2i}{\hbar}[(p'-p)(x''-x) - (p''-p)(x'-x)]}$

Making the change of variables $x_1 \equiv x' - x$, $p_1 \equiv p' - x$, $x_2 \equiv x'' - x$, $p_2 \equiv p'' - x$

$$\Rightarrow A_w(x, p) \star B_w(x, p) = \int \frac{dx_1 dp_1 dx_2 dp_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} A_w(x_1+x, p_1+x) B_w(x_2+x, p_2+p) e^{-\frac{2i}{\hbar}(p_1 x_2 - x_1 p_2)}$$

$$= \int \frac{dx_1 dp_1 dx_2 dp_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \left(\sum_{n,m} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{m!} x_1^n p_1^m \partial_x^n \partial_p^m A_w(x, p) \right) \left(\sum_{k,l} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{l!} x_2^k p_2^l \partial_x^k \partial_p^l B_w(x, p) \right) e^{-\frac{2i}{\hbar}(p_1 x_2 - x_1 p_2)}$$

Consider a term in the sum: $\int \frac{dx_1 dp_1 dx_2 dp_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} x_1^n p_1^m x_2^k p_2^l e^{-\frac{2i}{\hbar}(p_1 x_2 - x_1 p_2)}$

$$= \left[\int \frac{dx_1 dp_1}{(2\pi\hbar)} x_1^n \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \partial_x \right)^l e^{+\frac{2i}{\hbar} x_1 p_1} \right] \left[\int \frac{dx_2 dp_2}{2\pi\hbar} x_2^k \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \partial_{x_2} \right)^m e^{-\frac{2i}{\hbar} x_2 p_2} \right]$$

$$= \int dx_1 x_1^n \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \partial_{x_1} \right)^l \delta(x_1) \int dx_2 x_2^k \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \partial_{x_2} \right)^m \delta(x_2)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \partial_{x_1} \right)^l x_1^n \Big|_{x_1=0} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \partial_{x_2} \right)^m x_2^k \Big|_{x_2=0} = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \right)^n n! \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \right)^m m! \delta_{ln} \delta_{km}$$

$$\Rightarrow A_w(x, p) \star B_w(x, p) = \sum_{n,m} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \partial_x^n \partial_p^m A_w(x, p) \right) \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \partial_p^n \partial_x^m B_w(x, p) \right)$$

$$= A_w(x, p) \left[\sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \overleftarrow{\partial}_x \overrightarrow{\partial}_p \right)^n \right] \left[\sum_m \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \overleftarrow{\partial}_p \overrightarrow{\partial}_x \right)^m \right] B_w(x, p)$$

$$\Rightarrow A_w(x, p) \star B_w(x, p) = A_w(x, p) e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2} (\overleftarrow{\partial}_x \overrightarrow{\partial}_p - \overleftarrow{\partial}_p \overrightarrow{\partial}_x)} B_w(x, p) = A_w(x, p) e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2} \Lambda} B_w(x, p)$$

$$A_w \wedge B_w = A_w (\overleftarrow{\partial}_x \overrightarrow{\partial}_p - \overleftarrow{\partial}_p \overrightarrow{\partial}_x) B_w = \partial_x A_w \partial_p B_w - \partial_p A_w \partial_x B_w$$

Note $A_w \hat{\Lambda} B_w = -B_w \hat{\Lambda} A_w$

$$\Rightarrow \{A_w(x, p), B_w(x, p)\}_{MB} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} (A_w e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2} \Lambda} B_w - B_w e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2} \Lambda} A_w) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} A_w (e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2} \Lambda} - e^{-\frac{i\hbar}{2} \Lambda}) B_w$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Moyal Bracket } \{A_w(x, p), B_w(x, p)\}_{MB} = \frac{2}{\hbar} A_w(x, p) \sin\left(\frac{\hbar}{2} \Lambda\right) B_w(x, p)$$

Note: In the limit " $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ " (whatever that means)

$$\{A_w, B_w\}_{M.B.} \rightarrow A_w \wedge B_w = \frac{\partial A_w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial B_w}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial A_w}{\partial p} \frac{\partial B_w}{\partial x} = \{A_w, B_w\}_{P.B.}$$

The limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, the Moyal Bracket reduces to the Poisson Bracket!

In particular, the Wigner function evolves according to.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} W(x,p,t) &= \{H_w(x,p), W(x,p,t)\}_{M.B.} = \frac{2}{\hbar} H_w(x,p) \sin\left(\frac{\hbar}{2} \wedge\right) W(x,p,t) \\ &= \underbrace{\{H_w(x,p), W(x,p,t)\}_{P.B.}}_{\text{Classical flow}} + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \hbar^{2n}}{2^{2n} (2n+1)!} H_w(x,p) \wedge^{2n+1} W(x,p)}_{\text{Quantum corrections to dynamics}} \end{aligned}$$

If we can neglect the terms proportional to \hbar^{2n} , the Wigner function evolves according to the classical flow. Truncating the series at $\hbar=0$ is known as the truncated Wigner approximation (TWA)

When is the TWA valid? Let's look at simple wave mechanics, with a Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{x})$. The Heisenberg equation implied

$$\frac{d\langle \hat{x} \rangle}{dt} = \frac{\langle \hat{p} \rangle}{m}, \quad \frac{d\langle \hat{p} \rangle}{dt} = -\left\langle \frac{\partial V(\hat{x})}{\partial x} \right\rangle_{x=\langle \hat{x} \rangle}$$

However $\left\langle \frac{\partial V(\hat{x})}{\partial x} \right\rangle_{x=\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \neq \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=\langle \hat{x} \rangle}$ in general. When this is true (or approximately true) $\langle \hat{x} \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{p} \rangle$ follow the classical trajectories.

An example is for a harmonic potential $V(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega \hat{x}^2$, then

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=\langle \hat{x} \rangle} = m \omega \langle \hat{x} \rangle, \quad \frac{d\langle \hat{x} \rangle}{dt} = \frac{\langle \hat{p} \rangle}{m}, \quad \frac{d\langle \hat{p} \rangle}{dt} = -m \omega \langle \hat{x} \rangle$$

The expectation values in SHO follow the classical flow. For the SHO, the TWA is exact!

What about approximate classical flow? If we have a wavepacket whose extent Δx is small compared to the scale over which $V(x)$ changes, then $\langle \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} |_{x=\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \rangle \approx \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} |_{x=\langle \hat{x} \rangle}$. Moreover we don't want Δx to be too small otherwise Δp will be too large and nonclassical flow will follow. In a nonlinear potential Δx will grow and eventually the classical approximation will break down. The time at which this occurs is known as the Ehrenfest time.

More quantitatively, consider the time evolution of the Wigner function for wave mechanics

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = \{H_w, W\}_{P.B.} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \hbar^{2n}}{2^{2n} (2n+1)!} \left(\frac{\partial^{2n+1}}{\partial x^{2n+1}} V(x) \right) \left(\frac{\partial^{2n+1}}{\partial p^{2n+1}} W(x,p,t) \right)$$

Firstly corrections arise for potentials beyond quadratic, cubic or greater. Secondly when $W(x,p,t)$ is relatively smooth function of p on some appropriate scale, the higher order derivatives are negligible. We have seen that the very rapid oscillations in $W(x,p)$ can arise in nonclassical states, such as the cat state, and these will lead to nonclassical flow. The Ehrenfest time will depend on the scale of features in $W(x,p)$ (characteristic Action I) compared to \hbar . As we will see this subplanck scale structure is associated with nonclassicality, and a break down of the TWA.

Other representations

Bopp-representation: As follows from $e^{x_0 \partial_x} f(x) = f(x+x_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} A_w(x,p) \star B_w(x,p) &= A(\hat{x}=x+i\frac{\hbar}{2}\partial_p, \hat{p}=p-i\frac{\hbar}{2}\partial_x) B_w(x,p) \\ &= B(\hat{x}=x-i\frac{\hbar}{2}\partial_p, \hat{p}=p+i\frac{\hbar}{2}\partial_x) A_w(x,p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } (\hat{x} \hat{A})_w = x A_w(x,p) + i\frac{\hbar}{2} \partial_p A_w(x,p)$$

$$(\hat{A} \hat{x})_w = x A_w(x,p) - i\frac{\hbar}{2} \partial_p A_w(x,p)$$

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{A}]_w = i\hbar \partial_p A_w = i\hbar \{x, A_w(x,p)\}_{P.B.} \quad \text{as expected}$$

Complex amplitude: (setting $\hbar=1$) $\alpha = \frac{x+ip}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^*} \right)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial p} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^*} \right)$

\Rightarrow Poisson bracket: $\{A, B\}_{P.B.} = -i \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha^*} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial \alpha^*} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} \right) = -i A \Lambda_c B$

\Rightarrow Star product: $(\hat{A}\hat{B})_w = A_w(\alpha, \alpha^*) e^{\frac{\Lambda_c}{2}} B_w(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ ($\Lambda_c = \overleftarrow{\partial}_\alpha \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\alpha^*} - \overleftarrow{\partial}_{\alpha^*} \overrightarrow{\partial}_\alpha$)

\Rightarrow Moyal bracket: $\{A, B\}_{M.B.} = -2A_w(\alpha, \alpha^*) \sinh\left[\frac{\Lambda_c}{2}\right] B_w(\alpha, \alpha^*)$
 $= \{A_w(\alpha, \alpha^*), B_w(\alpha, \alpha^*)\}_{P.B.}$

\Rightarrow Bopp-representation

$$\begin{aligned} (A(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) B(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger))_w &= A(\hat{a} = \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha^*}, \hat{a}^\dagger = \alpha^* - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha) B_w(\alpha, \alpha^*) \\ &= B(\hat{a} = \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha^*}, \hat{a}^\dagger = \alpha^* + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha) A_w(\alpha, \alpha^*) \end{aligned}$$

The Bopp-representation is the easiest way to determine the Weyl symbol of normally ordered operators.

E.g. $(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a})_w = (\alpha^* + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha) \alpha = |\alpha|^2 + \frac{1}{2}$ as expected

Finally we have the TWA expansion

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = \{H, W\}_{M.B.} = \underbrace{-i \left(\frac{\partial H_w}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \alpha^*} - \frac{\partial H_w}{\partial \alpha^*} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \alpha} \right)}_{\{H, W\}_{P.B.} \text{ TWA}} - \underbrace{\frac{i}{8} \left(\frac{\partial^3 H_w}{\partial \alpha^{*2} \partial \alpha} \frac{\partial^3 W}{\partial \alpha^2 \partial \alpha^*} - \frac{\partial^3 H_w}{\partial \alpha^2 \partial \alpha^*} \frac{\partial^3 W}{\partial \alpha^{*2} \partial \alpha} \right)}_{\text{Beyond TWA}} + \dots$$

In the complex representation $\hbar=1$, so subplanck structure in far action (area) $\pm < 1$.


Example of the SHO

For the SHO $\hat{H} = \omega \left(\frac{\hat{x}^2 + \hat{p}^2}{2} \right) = \omega \left(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \right)$ ($\hbar=1$) $\Rightarrow H_w(x, p) = \omega \left(\frac{x^2 + p^2}{2} \right) = \omega \alpha^* \alpha$

\Rightarrow TWA is exact: $\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = i\omega \left(\alpha^* \frac{\partial W}{\partial \alpha^*} - \alpha \frac{\partial W}{\partial \alpha} \right) = \omega \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial p} - p \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) W$

Note: $X \frac{\partial}{\partial p} - P \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ where $X + iP = r e^{i\phi}$
 = generator of rotation in phase space (as expected)

$$\frac{\partial W(r, \phi, t)}{\partial t} = -\omega \frac{\partial W(r, \phi, t)}{\partial \phi} \Rightarrow W(r, \phi, t) = W(r, \phi - \omega t, 0)$$



As the TWA is exact, the solution for the Wigner function is the classical

solution $W(x, p, t) = W(x(-t), p(-t), 0)$. Given an initial Gaussian state,

e.g. a coherent state, $W(x, p, 0) = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\alpha x_0^2 - (p-p_0)^2}$, $W(x, 0) = \frac{2}{\pi} e^{-2|\alpha - \alpha_0|^2}$

$$\Rightarrow W(x, t) = \frac{2}{\pi} e^{-2|\alpha e^{i\omega t} - \alpha_0|^2} = \frac{2}{\pi} e^{-2|\alpha - \alpha_0 e^{-i\omega t}|^2}$$

Gaussian state \Rightarrow Gaussian state

Generally, the TWA is exact where \hat{H} has no more than quadratic terms in \hat{a} and \hat{a}^\dagger . Then $H_W(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ will be no more than quadratic in $\alpha + \alpha^*$.

For this case the flow is classical. If we start with a pure state with a positive Wigner function, a "Gaussian state" it will remain Gaussian.

Nonclassical dynamics occurs for anharmonic oscillators, with terms beyond quadratic in \hat{a} and \hat{a}^\dagger . The resulting nonclassical flow results in non-Gaussian states with a negative Wigner function and sub-Planck scale structure.

Our goal will be to study the open quantum system dynamics and see how and when decoherence recovers the classical limit.